



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,436	09/27/2000	Yoshinari Matsuda	09792909-0425	6069
7	590 03/19/2003			
David R Metzger			EXAMINER	
Sonnenschein 1 P O Box 06108	Nath & Rosenthal 0		LEVI, DAMEON E	
Wacker Drive Station Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2841 DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/671,436	MATSUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Dameon E Levi	2841			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov r sheet with the c	correspondenc address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 S	September 2000 .				
2a)□		is action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application					
5-7	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8-20</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2000</u> is/a		to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachmen	•					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Igaki et al US Patent 6479763.

Regarding claim 1, Igaki et al discloses a printed circuit board comprising:

a glass substrate provided with through-holes; conductive patterns provided on both
surfaces of the glass substrate in such a manner as to be made conductive to each
other via the through-holes; and a sealing member provided to fill the throughholes (for
example, see elements 10,20,30,40, Figs 11A, 11B, also see column 18, lines 40-45)
Regarding claim 3, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is a conductive
paste containing an epoxy resin as a binder(for example, see column 18, lines 40-45)

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igaki et al US Patent 6479763. in view of Yokono US Patent 5150005

Regarding claim2, Igaki et al discloses the instant claimed invention except wherein the glass substrate is a no-alkali glass substrate.

Yokono discloses a no alkali glass substrate (for example, see element 14, figs 3,5, see column 2, lines 25-27)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a no alkali glass substrate as taught by Yokono in the device as taught by Igaki et al as no alkali glass is used for substrates due to the low coefficient of linear expansion of such materials.

Claims 4,5,6,8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over lgaki et al US Patent 6479763. in view of Curcio et al US Patent 6452117

Regarding claim 4, lgaki et al discloses the instant claimed invention except:

Art Unit: 2841

wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of each of the throughholes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate to each other, and

an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with the sealing member.

Curcio et al discloses a printed circuit board wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of through-holes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of a glass substrate to each other, and an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with the sealing member (for example, see 123, 162, Figs 7E-7H)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a conductive film on an inner wall as taught by Curcio et al in the printed circuit board as taught by Igaki et al for the purpose of providing electrical conduction from one side of the circuit board to the other side (see Curcio Figs 7E-7H)

Regarding claim 5, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is an epoxy resin (for example, see column 18, lines 40-45)

Regarding claim 6, Igaki et al discloses wherein the surface of the sealing member exposed from each of the through-holes is covered with a metal film (for example, see elements 40 Figs 11A, 11B)

Page 5

Regarding claim 8, Igaki et al discloses the instant claimed invention except wherein each of the conductive patterns has a stacked structure of an epoxy resin film and a copper film formed thereon

Curcio et al discloses an arrangement wherein each of the conductive patterns has a stacked structure of an epoxy resin film and a copper film formed thereon (for example, see elements 172,174, Fig 7H)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a stacked structure of epoxy resin and copper as taught by Curcio et al in the circuit board as taught by Igaki et al for the purpose of usage as an interposer for facilitating the attachment of electronic components thereon.

Claims 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Igaki et al US Patent 6479763 in view if Stevens US Patent 6392356 in view of Nakazawa et al US Patent 6411349 and further in view of Curcio et al US Patent 6452117 **Regarding claim** 9, Igaki et al discloses a device comprising:

a printed wiring board including a glass substrate provided with through-holes. conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate in such a manner as to be made conductive to each other via the throughholes, and a first sealing member provided to fill the through-holes; (for example, see elements 10,20,30,40, Figs 11A, 11B, also see column 18, lines 40-45)

Stevens et al discloses a display device assembly comprising

- a display device provided on one surface of the printed wiring board in such a
 manner as to be connected to a conductive pattern provided on a one surface of
 a printed wiring board;(for example, see elements 30, Fig 3)
- a drive component for driving the display device, the drive component being disposed on the other surface of the printed wiring board in such a manner as to be connected to the conductive pattern provided on the other surface of the printed wiring board; (for example, see elements 70,72, Figs 1-3, see column 5, lines 5-25)
- a protective glass board disposed in such a manner as to face to the one surface of the printed wiring board; (for example, see element 12, Fig 3)

Nakazawa et al discloses a display device assembly wherein a second sealing member provided in such a manner as to surround a display device while being in contact with a printed wiring board and a protective glass board (for example, see element 252, fig 12)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have include the glass circuit board as taught by Igaki and to arrange the display device components as taught by Stevens for the purpose of achieving a denser array of driver components in order to increase pixel pitch in the display device and to add the second sealing member as taught by Nakazawa et al for the purpose vacuum sealing the assembly as a whole.

Regarding claim 10 Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al disclose the instant claimed invention except wherein the glass substrate is a no-alkali glass substrate.

Art Unit: 2841

Yokono discloses a no alkali glass substrate (for example, see element 14, figs 3,5, see column 2, lines 25-27)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a no alkali glass substrate as taught by Yokono in the device as taught by Igaki et al as no alkali glass is used for substrates due to the low coefficient of linear expansion of such materials.

Regarding claim 11, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is a conductive paste containing an epoxy resin as a binder(for example, see column 18, lines 40-45) Regarding claim 12, Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al disclose the instant claimed invention except wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of each of the through-holes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate to each other, and an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with a first sealing member.

Curcio et al discloses a printed circuit board wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of each of the through-holes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate to each other, and an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with the sealing member (for example, see 123, 162, Figs 7E-7H)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a conductive film on an inner wall surface of the through holes as taught by Curcio et al in the printed circuit board of the display device

Art Unit: 2841

as taught by Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al for the purpose of providing electrical conduction from one side of the circuit board to the other side (see Curcio Figs 7E-7H)

Regarding claim 13, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is an epoxy resin (for example, see column 18, lines 40-45)

Regarding claim 14, Igaki et al discloses wherein the surface of the sealing member exposed from each of the through-holes is covered with a metal film (for example, see elements 40 Figs 11A, 11B)

Regarding claim 15, Igaki et al discloses a device comprising:

a printed wiring board including a glass substrate provided with through-holes, conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate in such a manner as to be made conductive to each other via the throughholes, and a first sealing member provided to fill the through-holes; (for example, see elements 10,20,30,40, Figs 11A, 11B, also see column 18, lines 40-45)

Stevens et al discloses a display device assembly comprising

bumps provided on a conductive pattern provided on one surface of a printed wiring board; a protective glass board disposed in such a manner as to face to the one surface of the printed wiring board; a display device provided on the surface, facing to the printed wiring board, of the protective glass board in such a manner as to be connected to the bumps; (for example, see elements 60,12,30 Fig 3)

example, see element 252, fig 12)

 a drive component for driving the display device, the drive component being disposed on the other surface of the printed wiring board in such a manner as to be connected to the conductive pattern provided on the other surface of the printed wiring board; (for example, see elements 70,72, Figs 1-3, see column 5, lines 5-25)

Nakazawa et al discloses a display device assembly wherein a second sealing member is provided in such a manner as to surround a display device while being in contact with a printed wiring board and a protective glass board (for

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have include the glass circuit board as taught by Igaki and to arrange the display device components as taught by Stevens for the purpose of achieving a denser array of driver components in order to increase pixel pitch in the display device and to add the second sealing member as taught by Nakazawa et al for the purpose vacuum sealing the assembly as a whole.

Regarding claim 16 Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al disclose the instant claimed invention except wherein the glass substrate is a no-alkali glass substrate. Yokono discloses a no alkali glass substrate (for example, see element 14, figs 3,5, see column 2, lines 25-27)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a no alkali glass substrate as taught by Yokono in

Art Unit: 2841

the device as taught by Igaki et al as no alkali glass is used for substrates due to the low coefficient of linear expansion of such materials.

Regarding claim 17, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is a conductive paste containing an epoxy resin as a binder(for example, see column 18, lines 40-45)

Regarding claim 18, Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al disclose the instant claimed invention except wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of each of the through-holes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate to each other, and an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with the first sealing member.

Curcio et al discloses a printed circuit board wherein a conductive film is provided on an inner wall surface of through-holes in such a manner as to connect the conductive patterns provided on both surfaces of the glass substrate to each other, and an inner space, inside the conductive film, of the through-hole is filled with the sealing member (for example, see 123, 162, Figs 7E-7H)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included a conductive film on an inner wall surface of the through holes as taught by Curcio et al in the printed circuit board of the display device as taught by Igaki et al, Stevens and Nakazawa et al for the purpose of providing electrical conduction from one side of the circuit board to the other side (see Curcio Figs 7E-7H)

Art Unit: 2841

Regarding claim 19, Igaki et al discloses wherein the sealing member is an epoxy

resin (for example, see column 18, lines 40-45)

Regarding claim 20, Igaki et al discloses wherein the surface of the sealing member

exposed from each of the through-holes is covered with a metal film (for example, see

elements 40 Figs 11A, 11B)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dameon E Levi whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (9:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724

for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0058.

Dameon E Levi

Examiner

Art Unit 2841

DAVID MARTIN

Page 11

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application/Control Number: 09/671,436 Page 12

Art Unit: 2841

DEL

March 12, 2003